

**Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation**

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1609

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION**PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION**

Requestor's Name and Address: CYPRESS FAIRBANKS MEDICAL CENTER PO BOX 809053 DALLAS TX 75380-9053	MFDR Tracking #: M4-03-7245-01
Respondent Name and Box #: American Home Assurance Co. Box #: 19	

PART II: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION**Requestor's Position Summary:** "This facility accepts 75% of the total charges as fair and reasonable"**Principle Documentation:**

1. DWC 60 Package
2. Total Amount Sought - \$607.25
3. Hospital Bill

PART III: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION**Respondent's Position Summary:** "no fee or MAR of paid fair and reasonable" [sic]**Principle Documentation:**

1. Response to DWC 60
2. EOB

PART IV: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Denial Code(s)	Disputed Service	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
6/1/2002	M	Outpatient Physical Therapy	\$607.25	\$0.00
Total Due:				\$0.00

PART V: REVIEW OF SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY AND EXPLANATION

Texas Labor Code §413.011(a-d), titled *Reimbursement Policies and Guidelines*, and Division Rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1, titled *Use of the Fee Guidelines*, effective May 16, 2002 set out the reimbursement guidelines.

1. For the services involved in this dispute, the respondent reduced or denied payment with reason code M – "Reduced to fair and reasonable."
2. This dispute relates to outpatient physical therapy services provided in a hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Division Rule at 28 TAC §134.1, 27 TexReg 4047 (May 10, 2002) which requires that "reimbursement for services not identified in an established fee guideline shall be reimbursed at fair and reasonable rates"...
3. Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.

4. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(C), effective January 2, 2002, 26 TexReg 10934; amended to be effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282; and applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003 requires the requestor to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute including “a statement of the disputed issue(s) that shall include: (i) a description of the healthcare for which payment is in dispute, (ii) the requestor’s reasoning for why the disputed fees should be paid or refunded, (iii) how the Texas Labor Code and commission [now the Division] rules, and fee guidelines, impact the disputed fee issues, and (iv) how the submitted documentation supports the requestor position for each disputed fee issue. The request for medical fee dispute resolution was received by the Division on May 23, 2003. Pursuant to §133.307(g)(3), the Division notified the requestor on June 9, 2003 to send the additional required documentation. Additional information was received from the requestor on July 23, 2003. Review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor did not state its reasoning for why the disputed services should be paid; or how the Texas Labor Code and Division rules impact the disputed fee issues; or how the submitted documentation supports the requestor’s position for each disputed fee issue. The Division concludes that the requestor has not provided documentation sufficient to meet the requirements of Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(C).
5. In support of the request for increased reimbursement, the requestor has submitted evidence of a sample PPO contract alleging payment for similar services at a reimbursement rate of “80.00 % of Charges In Service Type.” Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds the following: (a) The requestor did not submit a complete copy nor any portion of the contract for review, but rather only a “contract profile” summarizing the reimbursement rates; (b) No documentation was provided to support that the referenced contract rate was in effect for the dates of service in dispute; (c) No documentation was provided that the referenced contract rate was the most typical rate of reimbursement received for such services; and (d) The requestor did not discuss how this evidence relates to or supports the request for additional reimbursement. For these reasons, the documentation submitted by the requestor does not support the request for reimbursement at 75% of billed charges.
6. While managed care contracts are relevant to determining a fair and reasonable reimbursement, a methodology based on a percentage of billed charges does not, in itself, produce an acceptable payment amount. This methodology was considered and rejected by the Division in another fee guideline adoption preamble which states at 22 *Texas Register* 6276 (July 4, 1997) that “A discount from billed charges was another method of reimbursement which was considered. Again, this method was found unacceptable because it leaves the ultimate reimbursement in the control of the hospital, thus defeating the statutory objective of effective cost control and the statutory standard not to pay more than for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living. It also provides no incentive to contain medical costs, would be administratively burdensome for the Commission and system participants, and would require additional Commission resources.” Thorough review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not demonstrated or justified that payment in the amount of 75% of the billed charges would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Therefore, reimbursement in the amount of 75% of the provider’s billed charges cannot be recommended.
7. Division Rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(D), effective January 2, 2002, 26 TexReg 10934; amended to be effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to provide “documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement”... The request for medical fee dispute resolution was received by the Division on May 23, 2003. Thorough review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not discussed, demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Additional reimbursement cannot be recommended.
8. The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The Division concludes that the requestor failed to meet its burden of proof to support its position that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

PART VI: GENERAL PAYMENT POLICIES/REFERENCES

Texas Labor Code § 413.011(a-d), § 413.031 and § 413.0311
28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, §134.1
Texas Government Code, Chapter 2001, Subchapter G

PART VII: DIVISION DECISION AND/OR ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Section 413.031, the Division has determined that the Requestor is not entitled to reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute.

DECISION:

Authorized Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

Date

VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to request an appeal. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with other required information specified in Division Rule 148.3(c).

Under Texas Labor Code Section 413.0311, your appeal will be handled by a Division hearing under Title 28 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 142 Rules if the total amount sought does not exceed \$2,000. If the total amount sought exceeds \$2,000, a hearing will be conducted by the State Office of Administrative Hearings under Texas Labor Code Section 413.031.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.